Picosecond dynamics of a shock-driven displacive phase transformation in Zr
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High-pressure solid-state transformations at high strain rates are usually observed after the fact, either during static holding or after unloading, or inferred from interferometry measurements of the sample surface. The emergence of femtosecond x-ray diffraction techniques provides insight into the dynamics of short-time-scale events such as shocks. We report laser pump-probe experiments of the response of Zr to laser-driven shocks over the first few nanoseconds of the shock event, enabling the α → ω transition and orientation relationship to be observed in real time with picosecond resolution. A clear orientation relationship of (10\bar{1}0)\rightarrow(10\bar{1}1) is found, in conflict with ω → α annealing experiments in zirconium and the two α → ω pathways proposed for titanium.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of materials subject to ultrafast shocks are of fundamental interest. At high strain rates deformation may be effected by the movement of interfaces during twinning and displacive phase transformations, in addition to conventional dislocation-mediated plasticity [1]. The α → ω phase transformation in hexagonal close packed metals is of particular importance because the high-pressure ω phase is brittle, which may give rise to failure in metals that would be otherwise suited to a range of applications [2].

In this paper we report the first direct experimental observation of the α → ω phase transition in a hexagonal close packed metal, α-Zr, under shock conditions, employing recently developed [3–6] laser pump-probe experiments using the x-ray free electron laser (XFEL) to perform femtosecond x-ray diffraction (XRD) at the linac coherent light source of the Stanford linear accelerator center (CXI station, LCLS, SLAC). Pump-probe experiments use a femtosecond XFEL pulse to take an XRD snapshot (the probe) at a precise time delay after a shock pulse from an optical laser (the pump). By repeating this operation on statistically equivalent samples, a time-ordered data set is produced, yielding a dynamic representation of the shock response.

Despite significant theoretical and experimental investigation [1,7–11], the pathway of the α → ω transformation under shock is unresolved. Shock recovery experiments [1,9,11,12] can investigate only the isothermal, quasi-static ω → α transformation by annealing previously shocked samples with significant regions of quenched ω phase to recover the low pressure α phase, while tracking the crystallographic texture during annealing through the variation of x-ray diffraction (XRD) peaks with sample orientation [13]. In this way, the orientation relation (OR) between the α and ω phases can be extracted. In the majority of such experiments, one of two orientation relationships were identified: (1) An OR of (0001)α(1120)ω was found, consistent with the transformation pathway proposed by Silcock for Ti [7], which suggests (0001)α||(1120)ω, [1120]α][[0001]ω [11]; and, alternatively, (2) an OR of (0001)α||(10\bar{1}0)ω in agreement with a pathway found from ab initio calculations in Ti [2] that suggests (0001)α||(10\bar{1}1)ω, [1120]α][[10\bar{1}1]ω. There is also experimental evidence for a dense array of [0001]ω dislocations surrounding a nucleated ω-phase region [8].

Because shocks are rapid, adiabatic events, the quasistatic, isothermal ω → α transformation observed during annealing in shock recovery experiments is not required to follow the same pathway as the shock-driven α → ω transformation. The isothermal, quasi-statical conditions of the reverse transformation could result in a reconstructive, rather than displacive, transformation mechanism. One route to understanding shock-driven transformations are molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, which can treat up to tens of millions of atoms as classical particles interacting through an interatomic potential. While an interatomic potential suitable for shock-driven dynamics in Zr is not currently available, recent MD simulations of shocked Ti [14] have found (1) an OR of (0001)α||(10\bar{1}0)ω for shocks along [0001]α, transforming through a shuffle-strain mechanism along [10\bar{1}0]ω, and (2) an OR of (10\bar{1}0)α||(1120)ω for shock along [1120]α, in which the ω phase first transformed to an intermediate structure through a rotation of 90° around [1120]ω before transforming to the ω phase by the Silcock mechanism. The ω phase was accommodated through incoherent twin boundaries with the α phase [14]. In both cases the observed ORs were in conflict with shock recovery measurements in Ti, highlighting the need for experimental measurement of shock-driven α → ω transitions.
II. LASER PUMP-PROBE EXPERIMENTS

Polycrystalline cold-rolled Zr foils of 99.9% purity and 2 μm in thickness were bonded to 100-μm-thick, optically polished, 25 mm x 40 mm Si ⟨100⟩ substrates, with a glue layer less than 1 μm thick. The commercially pure foils had an impurity content of 0.1%, well below levels which are known to affect phase transitions under dynamic loading [10].

XRD texture measurements were made using a Philips XPert MRD machine in texture configuration via the back reflection technique using copper Kα radiation. Intensities up to an incident angle of 80◦ from the sample normal were measured, with a defocusing correction, followed by a spherical harmonic and then WIMV analysis to construct equal-area projection pole figures using the POPLA texture analysis software [15]. The reconstructed pole figures (Fig. 1) show a typical cold rolled texture, with the (0002) poles at an angle of ∼±25◦ to the normal direction (ND) towards the transverse direction (TD) and a strong {1010} texture in the rolling direction. This is a consequence of {1010}(1120) slip being the easiest slip system in Zr. Electron Backscatter Diffraction (EBSD) measurement found a modal grain size of ∼0.1 μm (Fig. 1).

An array of six zirconium-coated silicon substrates were placed on the cassette shown in Fig. 1. The optical shock pulses had a spatial separation of 3 mm with a spot size of 500–130 μm, depending on the desired pulse power, while the XFEL (λ = 1.291 Å) had a spot size of 30 μm. This meant that all the diffraction data were taken from well inside the shocked area, avoiding complications of a heterogeneous stress state across the foil surface. In addition, variations in the shot-to-shot granular stress state are suppressed as each diffraction pattern is an average across the 100–1000 grains within each XFEL spot.

The XFEL beam was at normal incidence to the foil, with the diffraction data recorded in transmission geometry using the CSpad x-ray detector [16]. The XFEL and optical laser were operated in burst mode, and their temporal overlap in the interaction region was set to within ±20 ps, such that t = 0 corresponded to overlap of their leading edges. The pulse profile was a spectrally shaped Gaussian, with a linear rise to 90% intensity of 80 ps, and a FWHM of 170 ps. Once relative timing was set, the average error in synchronization was ∼160 fs, with a standard deviation in the shot-to-shot power of 0.015%. Before each laser pulse 600 XRD images were recorded and averaged to measure accurately the preshock state, while a single XRD image was taken after the optical laser pulse. At each optical laser power the pump-probe delay was systematically increased from zero in increments of 50 ps to obtain a time-ordered data set.

The Zr foils were subjected to shocks of three intensities. The results are shown in Fig. 2. It was found that with increasing shock power a new diffraction peak emerged, heralding a complete transformation of the diffraction pattern at the highest pump power, which we identify as the α → ω transition. The compressive shock wave took approximately 0.4 ns to traverse the sample; by 0.7 ns the reflected tensile wave resulted in a through-thickness average of zero pressure.

FIG. 1. Above: Typical XFEL diffraction data before and after the laser pulse. Shortly after the shock, the α-Zr rings are observed to disappear, being replaced by a weak, broad {1011}ω ring. Center: Experimental arrangement. The XFEL beam impinges on the Zr foil sample shortly after a laser shock pulse, with the diffraction data recorded in transmission geometry. Below: Sample pole figures [15] for the Zr foils in equal area projection. Scale is in multiples of a random distribution (MRD). EBSD measurement revealed a modal grain size of ∼ 0.1μm.

FIG. 2. XRD patterns before and after a laser shock at increasing shock power, with peak laser intensities of (a) 5.25 x 10^9 W cm⁻², (b) 2.26 x 10^10 W cm⁻², and (c) 1.79 x 10^11 W cm⁻². The planes giving rise to the peaks are identified and the red arrow in (b) signifies the emergence of the new ω peak.
followed by a period of tension, and so on, with strong attenuation due to transmission to the Si substrate.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

At the lowest shock power [Fig. 2(a)] no phase changes were observed after the shock had passed. As the incident x-rays strike the foil along the foil normal, the relative changes in peak position are a result of changes in the through-thickness average of elastic strains transverse to the shock pulse. During the low power shock, Fig. 3(a), first an interval of compression was observed, followed by tension, and these returned to zero ~2.5 ns after the shock. The rise in peak width during the shock event is a result of both the through-thickness averaging of strain and the generation of defects such as dislocations and their associated strain fields [17].

At medium shock power [Fig. 2(b)] a new peak appeared gradually, along with significantly larger peak broadening during the shock [Fig. 2(b) inset]. At the same time, the measured lattice strains in the α-Zr peaks did not return to zero [Fig. 3(b)], in particular for the {1011}_α and {1012}_α reflections. This is consistent with the Burgers vector of any induced dislocations being predominantly along basal directions, as the {1010}_α reflection is not sensitive to the spacing of basal planes.

At the highest shock power the peaks due to the α phase eventually disappeared completely, leaving only a new peak in an identical position to that found at medium shock power [Fig. 2(c)]. The disappearance of peaks from the α phase, leaving only the new peak, indicates that the material has undergone a phase change.

The identification of the new peak (observed at d = 2.60 ± 0.07 Å) following shock was made using zero pressure lattice parameters [18] for the α and ω crystal structures in Zr. A planar spacing of d = 2.60 ± 0.07 Å in Zr is consistent with either the {0002}_ω reflection at ~1% tension (from d = 2.57 Å) or the {1011}_α reflection at ~2% compression (from d = 2.64 Å).

For the new Zr peak to be the {0002}_ω reflection, one would require the shocked α phase in the highly textured foil to undergo a rotation of around 90° to the foil normal such that the basal planes come into diffraction along the periphery of the pole figures. Although such a reorientation is possible by the twinning/rotation mechanism described by Zong et al. [12], these mechanisms are highly unlikely for two primary reasons. First, the threshold twinning stress in Zr is around 100 MPa at room temperature [19] and thus we would expect to see evidence of such mechanisms at all laser shock powers, not just the intermediate and highest laser power. This is contrary to what was observed, Fig. 2(a), thus providing direct evidence against this mechanism being responsible. Second, at the highest shock power the entire diffraction pattern was transformed into a single peak [Fig. 2(c)]. If this transformation was achieved by twinning or a reorientation mechanism, the entire shocked sample would then have to undergo a macroscopic rotation which places all of the crystallites in a configuration suitable for only the {0002}_ω peak to be oriented for diffraction. This is an implausible explanation in that twinning mechanisms rely on the twin being created within a parent orientation that would persist in the shocked sample. Correspondingly, the post shock diffraction pattern should still contain evidence of the parent orientation, albeit at lower intensities. The lack of any intensity relating to the parent phase suggests that the material is behaving differently under shock loading. As a result, we conclude that the new peak is a {1011}_α reflection. As our pump probe experiments are destructive we cannot analyze samples postshock, but a phase change is expected under similar conditions from previous shock recovery experiments [12].

At both the intermediate and highest shock powers, the ω-phase peak was identifiable just after the point of maximum compressive strain, at approximately 0.5 ns. However, it is likely that the ω phase was first present at the point of maximum compressive strain, obscured due to the large width of the diffraction peaks at this point [cf. Figure 3(b), inset]. At later times the ω-phase peak appears to grow and narrow monotonically, which we attribute to an increasingly homogeneous stress state in the ω phase rather than an increasing volume of the transformed region. Due to variations in the stress state through the foil thickness, the time taken for the appearance of the ω peak cannot be directly equated to the time for the transformation but at the highest laser power the

FIG. 3. Time-resolved (data every 50 ps) response of Zr foils to a laser shock. Two upper panels: Strain as inferred from position of diffraction peaks for (a) lowest laser power (peak intensity of 5.25 x 10^7 W cm^-2) and (b) medium laser power (peak intensity of 2.26 x 10^10 W cm^-2). The dashed gray lines are the output of our HYADES simulations. Insets: FWHM of diffraction peaks. The strain pattern was transformed into a single peak [Fig. 2(c)]. If this transformation was achieved by twinning or a reorientation mechanism, the entire shocked sample would then have to undergo a macroscopic rotation which places all of the crystallites in a configuration suitable for only the {0002}_ω peak to be oriented for diffraction. This is an implausible explanation in that twinning mechanisms rely on the twin being created within a parent orientation that would persist in the shocked sample. Correspondingly, the post shock diffraction pattern should still contain evidence of the parent orientation, albeit at lower intensities. The lack of any intensity relating to the parent phase suggests that the material is behaving differently under shock loading. As a result, we conclude that the new peak is a {1011}_α reflection. As our pump probe experiments are destructive we cannot analyze samples postshock, but a phase change is expected under similar conditions from previous shock recovery experiments [12].

At both the intermediate and highest shock powers, the ω-phase peak was identifiable just after the point of maximum compressive strain, at approximately 0.5 ns. However, it is likely that the ω phase was first present at the point of maximum compressive strain, obscured due to the large width of the diffraction peaks at this point [cf. Figure 3(b), inset]. At later times the ω-phase peak appears to grow and narrow monotonically, which we attribute to an increasingly homogeneous stress state in the ω phase rather than an increasing volume of the transformed region. Due to variations in the stress state through the foil thickness, the time taken for the appearance of the ω peak cannot be directly equated to the time for the transformation but at the highest laser power the...
IV. SHOCKED MATTER SIMULATIONS

Our experimental results were compared to shockwave simulations using the hydrodynamic code HYADES [21], which balances energy, momentum, and mass with respect to SESAME [22] equations of state for Zr and Si. Although HYADES is not sensitive to crystallographic orientation, we are able to account for attenuation due to the Zr-Si impedance mismatch and obtain an estimate of the longitudinal strain in the Zr foil, which can then give estimates of the stress state during the shock, using a perfectly plastic strength model with a yield stress for Zr of 420 MPa. The time-resolved longitudinal strains from these simulations are shown in Fig. 3(b), which show good agreement with the experimentally observed lattice strains data until approximately 1 ns. After 1 ns an additional oscillation is predicted but not observed, implying the simulations underestimate the degree of transmission of the shock wave to the substrate but are able to characterize initial 1-ns well.

The HYADES simulations gave peak longitudinal stresses of 3.8 GPa, 7.6 GPa, and 22.1 GPa at low, intermediate, and highest shock power, where in experiment the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transition was absent, partial, and complete. The stress values of 3.8 GPa and 7.6 GPa therefore give lower and upper bounds for the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transition stress, in good agreement with previous measurements in pure zirconium [23] that found a threshold stress of 6.8 GPa for the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transition, with partial transformations seen above 5 GPa. A higher threshold stress of 10.6 GPa has been estimated in the zirconium alloy Zr [20].

V. ORIENTATION RELATION BETWEEN \( \alpha \) AND \( \omega \) PHASES

The significant azimuthal variations of the intensities of the \( \{10\overline{1}0\}_\alpha \) and \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\alpha \) diffraction rings, present due to the pronounced basal texture of the foil (Fig. 1 inset), gives valuable information on the orientation of the diffracting \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \) lattice planes during the shock [13]. Lattice planes in the diffraction condition in transmission geometry have normal directions at a large angle to the foil normal, meaning their reflections are near the periphery of the pole figures in Fig. 1. As the pole figures were constructed from separate XRD measurements of the same foil, we can calibrate XFEL measurements of the \( \alpha \) phase with the independent XRD measurements taken to construct the pole figure periphery (PFP). Once calibrated, we can then compare the XFEL measurements of the \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \) phases in order to construct a partial orientation relation for the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transformation under shock conditions.

As shown in Fig. 3(c), the strong basal texture of the Zr foil is evidenced by the independence of the intensity of the \( \{0002\}_\alpha \) reflection on the azimuthal angle and by the evident sixfold symmetry for the other planes. As expected, the \( \{11\overline{2}0\}_\alpha \) reflection has peaks at 30° to \( \{01\overline{1}1\}_\alpha \) reflections. The XFEL data, which show excellent agreement with the PFP data, are an aggregate of all individual diffraction patterns for the \( \alpha \) phase before and after the XFEL pulse. The data for the \( \omega \)-phase peak is an aggregate of all the diffraction data around 1.4 ns after the laser pulse where a steady state was observed, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Inspection of Fig. 3 demonstrates that both the periodicity and intensity of the \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\alpha \) reflection are aligned with the \( \{10\overline{1}0\}_\alpha \) reflection, giving a clear orientation relationship of \( \{10\overline{1}0\}_\alpha \| \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \).

VI. DISCUSSION

The measured orientation relationship is not compatible with the two \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) pathways reported in the literature, Silcock [7] and TAO-1 [2]. While these pathways were originally developed for titanium, they have been employed to interpret the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transition in zirconium [12], and, to our knowledge, no other \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transition pathways have been proposed for Zr. By considering the effect of the full transformation pathway [25] on pairs of vectors lying in the three possible \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \) planes, we found the Silcock pathway predicts that \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \) planes should be approximately aligned with \( \{1\overline{3}2\overline{0}\}_\omega \), \( \{0\overline{2}2\overline{3}\}_\omega \), and \( \{1\overline{1}0\overline{3}\}_\omega \) planes. As quoted above, the TAO-1 pathway predicts \( \{0001\}_\omega \| \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \), while the other \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \) planes should be approximately aligned with the \( \{1\overline{1}2\overline{3}\}_\omega \) and \( \{1\overline{2}1\overline{3}\}_\omega \) planes. In both cases, all the possible orientation relationships are incompatible with the orientation relationship \( \{10\overline{1}0\}_\omega \| \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \) seen in our experiments.

The observed orientation relationship is illustrated in Fig. 4. While we do not propose a transformation pathway between the two phases, we have found that the atomic positions in the \( \alpha \) phase, when projected onto \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\alpha \) planes, may be brought into coincidence with the atomic positions of \( \{10\overline{1}1\}_\omega \) planes, providing \( \{0001\}_\omega \) and \( \{1\overline{2}1\overline{0}\}_\omega \) directions are aligned. Using equilibrium lattice parameters for the \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \) phases in Zr [18], the atomic positions in the two planes may be brought into coincidence via a tensile strain of approximately 10% along \( \{1\overline{2}1\overline{0}\}_\omega \) and a compressive strain of approximately 2% along \( \{0001\}_\omega \). Clearly, further theoretical investigation is needed to determine a potential transformation pathway that
can accommodate these large tensile strains, but we note that if such a pathway can be found, the full orientation relationship for the $α → ω$ transformation would be $(10\bar{1}0)_α || (10\bar{1}1)_ω$, $[0001]_α || [1\bar{2}10]_ω$.

The $α → ω$ transformation pathway has implications for how HCP metals respond to shock loads. We have provided strong evidence that the $α → ω$ transformation in $Zr$ under shock loading conditions differs considerably from that measured in isothermal, quasistatic experiments in $Zr$ and ab initio calculations in Ti. While further theoretical and experimental work is needed on the known orientation dependence of shock-driven transformations, the picosecond time scales of the pump-probe experiments reported here are directly comparable to modern simulation techniques. However, by searching for a minimum energy transformation path, current ab initio calculations attempt to estimate the most likely quasistatic transformation pathway with no accommodation of thermal vibrations. Our results suggest that nonequilibrium concepts, such as basins of attraction in configurational phase space [26], may give insight into the role of entropy in predicting the response of crystalline materials to shock loads.
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